

## NW San Pedro NC CIS to Councilman David Ryu's submitted reform COUNCIL FILE 18-0467

Reform Proposed	NWSPNC Response
1. Amending City Charter to change the name of the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE)to the Neighborhood Councils Department (NCD), the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners (BONC)to the Neighborhood Councils Commission (NCC) and phasing out the use of the term/branding "EmpowerLA".	<b>Mixed responses.</b> Some feel this is unnecessary as current names are well known now by all NCs, no need to spend money on changing the names. Others feel the changes will help identify the 2 groups as City Departments for the Neighborhood Councils.
2. Amending the L.A. Admin. Code to remove the "Community Interest Stakeholder" and recommendations for including specific additional definitions by ordinance of eligible voters and board members of Neighborhood Councils.	<b>No Consensus</b> - not enough information provided to provide recommendation
3. Amending City Charter to remove any reference to "selection" and clarify that Neighborhood Council board members will be elected.	<b>Split vote 50/50 for and against.</b> We have, historically, favored the selection process over elections. This is due to the problems we experienced when the City Clerk was responsible.
4. Creating a one-time review process, overseen by the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners to evaluate Neighborhood Council bylaws to determine if board seats are equitably allocated amongst stakeholder types	<b>NO - NOT IN FAVOR.</b> DONE should work with the few Neighborhood Councils that have issues; Do not want BONC to have control over bylaws of well functioning NCs
5. Recommendations for adopting regulations to provide a uniform minimum voting age and board member age to allow consistent participation across the City.	<b>YES - IN FAVOR</b>
6. Recommendation for developing and adopting a planning and land use training required for all Chairs and Vice-Chairs of Neighborhood Council planning and land use committees.	<b>YES - IN FAVOR</b>
7. Recommendations on a process to allow NC's to roll-over a non-cumulative maximum of \$10,000 in any given fiscal year.	<b>YES - STRONGLY IN FAVOR</b>
8. Recommendation removing Section 5.485.h of Chapter 88 of Division 5 of the LA Municipal Code to remove the expiration of the ability for NCs to accept in-kind or monetary donations.	<b>No Consensus</b> - not enough information provided to provide recommendation, strong concerns over administration
9. Report on the costs of holding all Neighborhood Council elections on the same day to provide for a uniform citywide advertising and outreach campaign.	<b>YES - IN FAVOR.</b> We are a Selection advocate NC
10. Develop a process, with the assistance of GSD and the City Clerk, to assist Neighborhood Councils with accessing shared space in City facilities, as envisioned in Council File 16-0298.	<b>YES - IN FAVOR</b>
11. Develop an ongoing compendium of best practices generated from Neighborhood Councils and share those on a periodic basis with all Neighborhood Councils and include "how-to guides" for accomplishing those best practices	<b>YES - IN FAVOR</b>
12. Develop point of contact lists for key city departments and agencies, including DCP, DOT, Public Works' Bureaus, Aging, Disability, HCID, DCA, LADWP, Port, Airport, Cannabis Regulation, Emergency Management, Animal Services, LAPD, LAFD, Recreation and Parks, Zoo, Finance, Library, Economic and Workforce Development and BIDS, with assistance from the departments and agencies, and share those lists with Neighborhood Councils, facilitate the training of those points of contact at those relevant departments on the most fruitful ways to assist and communicate with Neighborhood Council members, and coordinate with Neighborhood Councils to formally appoint a point of contact at each NC to communicate between each NC and the point of contact at each department, thus creating a single line of communication.	<b>NO - NOT IN FAVOR.</b> We have concerns as communication between city departments & NCs are a two way engagement. The way the item is written it appears the line of communication is one sided, giving city departments access to NC sharing information, however, the it does not appear there is a component that allows NCs to have a single point of contact when needed to communicate NC concerns. City departments should be compelled to have open two way access as needed."