



Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council
 Board & Stakeholder Meeting – August 13, 2018
Peck Park Community Room
560 N. Western Ave.
San Pedro, CA 90731

MINUTES

1. The meeting was called to order at 6:05 pm by President Ray Regalado. There was a quorum with 13 board members. See list below for board member attendance. Approximately 20 stakeholders in attendance.

| Board Members | Roll Call/Attendance |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Armando Balderrama | Present |
| Monica Brandenburg | Present left at 8:45 p.m. |
| Bob Bryant | Present |
| Mary Chan | Excused |
| Bron D'Angelo | Absent |
| John DiMeglio | Present |
| Dan Dixon | Present left at 8:30 p.m. |
| Pete Garbowski | Present left at 8:40 p.m. |
| Cynthia Gonyea | Present |
| Gwendolyn Henry | Present |
| Laurie Jacobs | Present |
| Melanie Labrecque | Present |
| Raymond Regalado | Present |
| Steven Skrumbis | Excused |
| Christian Valle | Excused |
| Lee Williams | Present |
| Sky Zaarour | Present |

2. **Executive Committee** – Report – Ray Regalado
 - a. Reminder: Retreat – Brief comments from NC participants, possible next steps – Thank you everyone who took a Saturday to attend the retreat.
 - b. Discuss September 10, 2018 monthly meeting – Rosh Hashanah – Tentatively rescheduled for Wednesday, September 12th at Peck Park.
 - c. Bureau of Street Services - assign a Board liaison to outreach and submit 15 nominations for consideration of small asphalt repairs. Due by 8.27 for this quarter. Armando Balderrama appointed as liaison and will coordinate submittals for repairs.
 - d. Review CIS regarding Council File 18-0467, Councilman's Ryu's (Health, Education and Neighborhood Council Committee) neighborhood council reforms response.

NW San Pedro NC CIS to Councilman David Ryu's submitted PROPOSED reform COUNCIL FILE 18-0467

| Reform Proposed | NWSPNC Response |
|--|--|
| 1. Amending City Charter to change the name of the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) to the Neighborhood Councils Department (NCD), the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners (BONC) to the Neighborhood Councils Commission (NCC) and phasing out the use of the term/branding "EmpowerLA". | Mixed responses. Some feel this is unnecessary as current names are well known now by all NCs, no need to spend money on changing the names. Others feel the changes will help identify the 2 groups as City Departments for the Neighborhood Councils. |
| 2. Amending the L.A. Admin. Code to remove the "Community | No Consensus - not enough information provided to provide rec- |

| | |
|---|---|
| Interest Stakeholder" and recommendations for including specific additional definitions by ordinance of eligible voters and board members of Neighborhood Councils. | ommendation |
| 3. Amending City Charter to remove any reference to "selection" and clarify that Neighborhood Council board members will be elected. | Split vote 50/50 for and against. We have, historically, favored the selection process over elections. This is due to the problems we experienced when the City Clerk was responsible. |
| 4. Creating a one-time review process, overseen by the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners to evaluate Neighborhood Council bylaws to determine if board seats are equitably allocated amongst stakeholder types | NO - NOT IN FAVOR. DONE should work with the few Neighborhood Councils that have issues; Do not want BONC to have control over bylaws of well functioning NCs |
| 5. Recommendations for adopting regulations to provide a uniform minimum voting age and board member age to allow consistent participation across the City. | YES - IN FAVOR |
| 6. Recommendation for developing and adopting a planning and land use training required for all Chairs and Vice-Chairs of Neighborhood Council planning and land use committees. | YES - IN FAVOR |
| 7. Recommendations on a process to allow NC's to roll-over a non-cumulative maximum of \$10,000 in any given fiscal year. | YES - STRONGLY IN FAVOR |
| 8. Recommendation removing Section 5.485.h of Chapter 88 of Division 5 of the LA Municipal Code to remove the expiration of the ability for NCs to accept in-kind or monetary donations. | No Consensus - not enough information provided to provide recommendation, strong concerns over administration |
| 9. Report on the costs of holding all Neighborhood Council elections on the same day to provide for a uniform citywide advertising and outreach campaign. | YES - IN FAVOR. We are a Selection advocate NC |
| 10. Develop a process, with the assistance of GSD and the City Clerk, to assist Neighborhood Councils with accessing shared space in City facilities, as envisioned in Council File 16-0298. | YES - IN FAVOR |
| 11. Develop an ongoing compendium of best practices generated from Neighborhood Councils and share those on a periodic basis with all Neighborhood Councils and include "how-to guides" for accomplishing those best practices | YES - IN FAVOR |
| 12. Develop point of contact lists for key city departments and agencies, including DCP, DOT, Public Works' Bureaus, Aging, Disability, HCID, DCA, LADWP, Port, Airport, Cannabis Regulation, Emergency Management, Animal Services, LAPD, LAFD, Recreation and Parks, Zoo, Finance, Library, Economic and Workforce Development and BIDS, with assistance from the departments and agencies, and share those lists with Neighborhood Councils, facilitate the training of those points of contact at those relevant departments on the most fruitful ways to assist and communicate with Neighborhood Council members, and coordinate with Neighborhood Councils to formally appoint a point of contact at each NC to communicate between each NC and the point of contact at each department, thus creating a single line of communication. | NO - NOT IN FAVOR. We have concerns as communication between city departments & NCs are a two way engagement. The way the item is written it appears the line of communication is one sided, giving city departments access to NC sharing information, however, the it does not appear there is a component that allows NCs to have a single point of contact when needed to communicate NC concerns. City departments should be compelled to have open two way access as needed." |

Motion by **Melanie Labrecque** to approve Community Impact Statement (CIS) for Council File 18-0467, Councilman's Ryu's (Health, Education and Neighborhood Council Committee) neighborhood council reforms response, second by **Bob Bryant**, and passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenburg, Bryant, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 1 no (DiMeglio), and 0 abstention.

3. Public Official Reports:

- a. Councilman Joe Buscaino – Ryan Ferguson
 - i. Mr. Ferguson presented a certificate to Sky Zaarour recognizing her outstanding service as our youth representative on the Board.
 - ii. Mr. Ferguson had no report, he answered questions from the board and stakeholders.
- b. Port of Los Angeles – Augie Bezmalinovich

- i. The next meetings of the Board of Harbor Commissioners are Thursday, August 23rd at 8:30 am at the Port Administration Building.
- ii. Mr. Bezmalinovich provided the following information and requested that it be included in the minutes.

The Port of Los Angeles has released a Draft Initial Study/Negative Declaration for the Berths 118-119 [Kinder Morgan] Wharf Repair Project on Monday, August 13, 2018 for a period of 30 days. The project involves repairs to the wharf along with a five-year lease renewal. Specifically, the proposed work would consist of the following activities:

- Repair of several existing wharf-support timber piles and installation of one new wharf support pile.
- Installation of new fender piles.
- Installation of a berthing structure on the existing wharf including installation of five new steel piles.
- Conduct wharf deck repair work including, but not limited to repairing pile splits, repairing deficient pile connections, repairing damaged pile caps, joists, decking, crossbrace and bull rail.

During the 30-day public review period, the public has an opportunity to provide written comments on the information contained within this IS/MND. Written comments may be sent to:

Chris Cannon, Director, City of Los Angeles Harbor Department, Environmental Management Division
425 S. Palos Verdes Street, San Pedro, California 90731

Written comments may also be sent via email to cegacomments@portla.org and comments sent via email should include the Project title in the subject line. The document is attached or can be found on the Port's website at www.portofla.org.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or concerns.

iii. San Pedro Public Market update – Demolition continues.

iv. Fleet Week will feature a concert by The Beach Boys at the Battleship Iowa on Saturday, September 1, 2018.

- c. Department of Neighborhood Empowerment – Octaviano Rios, Neighborhood Council Advocate – Not present
- d. Budget Representative/Advocate Report – Melanie Labrecque
 - i. They had a retreat at which they assigned Department Committees.
 - ii. This year they will not have a Regional Budget Day. Instead they will conduct an extensive survey and then have a town hall.
- e. Office of the Mayor – Field Representative Manny Lopez – Not present
- f. Assemblyman Patrick O'Donnell's Office – Field Deputy Sarah Patterson – Not present
- g. Congress Woman Nanette Barragan – Field Representative, Morgan Roth – Not present
- h. Senator Steven Bradford – Field Representative Brenda Baker – Not present

4. First Responder's Report

- a. LAPD Senior Lead Officer Monica Helper
 - i. There will be A Bridge Home Homeless Navigation Center at The Harbor Division Station.
 - ii. She is being moved from Harbor Division this is her last meeting. There will be a new Senior Lead on loan until we get someone permanent.
 - iii. Officer Help gave a brief overview of crime statistics for the area.
 - iv. Officer Monica Helper's contact information: email 37443@lapd.online, Cell phone 310-869-2067
- b. CPAB – Lee Williams – No report

5. Presentations/Conversations

- a. High Park development, project status and update – Kendra Casper with DLA Piper, and Bill Myers with Harridge Development Group
 - i. They are planning on having a contest to pick a new name for the project.
 - ii. They will come quarterly to give updates on the project.
 - iii. They are currently Installing walls and electrical and working with CalTrans for permits to start construction on Western Avenue.
 - iv. They are working with Cal Water for water services.
 - v. There are things that need to happen that the previous owner/developer did not deliver before the Mary Star road can be addressed. They hope to have the road ready by June of 2019.
 - vi. They plan to have a website for the project that will eventually have timelines.
 - vii. The representatives answered questions from board and stakeholders.
- b. Harbor Blvd./SR 47 improvements project – Augie Bezmalinovich, Port of Los Angeles
 - i. Mr. Bezmalinovich gave a detailed PowerPoint presentation outlining the project.
 - ii. The dog park will be eliminated.
 - iii. Mr. Bezmalinovich answered questions from the board and stakeholders

6. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

- a. Janet Grothe from Phillips 66, Public Affairs Manager, announced that they are hiring for seven maintenance mechanics. Also, be aware that LADOT is doing a lot of work on Anaheim Street through mid-October.
- b. Greg Donnan reported that the concert on July 27, 2018 at Ken Malloy Regional Park was great success, there will be another concert on September 29, 2018.
- c. Gwen Henry announced EcoFest at Dean Dana Friendship Park on Saturday, September 29, 2018.
- d. Bob Bryant commented that he is disappointed that the council does not have a homelessness committee.
- e. Pete Bermeister reported that the restrooms at Harbor Highlands Park are still not open, and there is still construction debris.

7. Consent Calendar:

- a. Approval of July 9, 2018 minutes.
- b. Add John DiMeglio to Community Issues Committee
- c. Add Peter Burmeister to Community Issues Committee
- d. Remove Craig Goldfarb from Community Issues Committee
- e. Remove Darlene Zavalney from Youth and Outreach Committee
- f. Consider CIS regarding Planning Department Proposed Policies and Procedures Ordinance – Item removed from Consent Calendar and moved to item 8.a.ii under Planning and Land Use Report.
Motion by Melanie Labrecque to approve items a. through e. of the Consent Calendar as presented, second by Gwen Henry, and passed with 13 yes (Balderrama, Brandenburg, Bryant, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

8. Committee Reports and Motions: Please go to nwsanpedro.org to view text for all motions.

- a. Planning and Land Use Report – Diana Nave/Lee Williams
 - i. Discuss and consider opposing SB 831 regarding Accessory Dwelling Units.

Proposed CIS re SB 831

The Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council opposes SB 831, legislation that seeks to remove local government zoning and land use regulations regarding accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and urges the City to include opposition to this measure in their legislative program. State legislation already removes much of the local autonomy by requiring the city to allow second units on any existing R1 (single family) property. The proposed SB 831 would further restrict the City's ability to regulate such units by removing the City's ability to include lot coverage standards and prohibiting the consideration of square footage of a proposed accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio or lot coverage ratio for the lot. It would require that an application for an ADU be approved or disapproved within 60 days and if the City does not act within 60 days, then the application shall be deemed approved. It would prohibit requiring that offstreet parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted into an ADU and would not allow the City to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant.

Proposed Letter re SB 831 to State Senator (as amended)

The Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council opposes SB 831, legislation that seeks to remove local government zoning and land use regulations regarding accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and urges you to vote against it

State legislation already removes much of the local autonomy by requiring the city to allow second units on any existing R1 (single family) property. The proposed SB 831 would further restrict local communities abilities to regulate such units and plan for liveable neighborhoods.

Among other things, the legislation would remove the ability to include lot coverage standards and prohibit the consideration of square footage of a proposed accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio or lot coverage ratio. The result could be wildly out of scale and character developments.

The proposed reduction of time for an application to be acted on from 120 days to 60 days is unrealistic for a City the size of Los Angeles and appears designed to require automatic approval of every application and the time should not start until the application is deemed complete.

Of particular concern to us is the proposed prohibition on requiring that off-street parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted into an ADU. Existing legislation already does not allow for a requirement of parking for that new unit. This would be a double wammy. In San Pedro, we have many old neighborhoods where the parking

is already insufficient.

Finally, we are concerned about the provision that would not allow the City to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant. SB 831 is an overreach of the State's authority. We urge you to vote against it.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Brandenburg, Bryant, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 1 no (DiMeglio), 1 abstention (Zaarour), and 2 left meeting early (Dixon and Garbowski).

ii. Consider CIS regarding Planning Department Proposed Policies and Procedures Ordinance

Proposed CIS re SB 831

The ____ Neighborhood Council opposes SB 831, legislation that seeks to remove local government zoning and land use regulations regarding accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and urges the City to include opposition to this measure in their legislative program. State legislation already removes much of the local autonomy by requiring the city to allow second units on any existing R1 (single family) property. The proposed SB 831 would further restrict the City's ability to regulate such units by removing the City's ability to include lot coverage standards and prohibiting the consideration of square footage of a proposed accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio or lot coverage ratio for the lot. It would require that an application for an ADU be approved or disapproved within 60 days and if the City does not act within 60 days, then the application shall be deemed approved. It would prohibit requiring that off-street parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted into an ADU and would not allow the City to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant.

Proposed Letter re SB 831 to State Senator

The ____ Neighborhood Council opposes SB 831, legislation that seeks to remove local government zoning and land use regulations regarding accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and urges you to vote against it

State legislation already removes much of the local autonomy by requiring the city to allow second units on any existing R1 (single family) property. The proposed SB 831 would further restrict local communities abilities to regulate such units and plan for liveable neighborhoods.

Among other things, the legislation would remove the ability to include lot coverage standards and prohibit the consideration of square footage of a proposed accessory dwelling unit when calculating an allowable floor-to-area ratio or lot coverage ratio. The result could be wildly out of scale and character developments.

The proposed reduction of time for an application to be acted on from 120 days to 60 days is unrealistic for a City the size of Los Angeles and appears designed to require automatic approval of every application.

Of particular concern to us is the proposed prohibition on requiring that off-street parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted into an ADU. Existing legislation already does not allow for a requirement of parking for that new unit. This would be a double wammy. In San Pedro, we have many old neighborhoods where the parking is already insufficient.

Finally, we are concerned about the provision that would not allow the City to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant.

SB 831 is an overreach of the State's authority. We urge you to vote against it.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Draft Processes and Procedures Ordinance CIS

While we generally support the draft Process and Procedures Ordinance, we hereby request that it be amended to include the affected Neighborhood Council in all of the sections regarding required notification.

The Neighborhood Councils are currently notified of all proposed development that requires discretionary action and we receive a copy of the plans as they are filed. Our community stakeholders have come to rely on the Neighborhood Councils as a source of information about any construction they see in the community. Notification of The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment should not be seen as a substitute for notification of the affected Neighborhood Council.

Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenburg, Bryant, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 1 no (DiMeglio), and 0 abstention.

iii. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to <http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/>.

- b. Elections and By-Laws Committee Report – Update – Diana Nave – No new information
- c. Community Issues Committee Report – Dan Dixon

i. Discuss and consider approval of letter in support of Cabrillo Youth Watersport Center.

DRAFT - Suggested Letter from Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council

Joe Buscaino, Los Angeles Councilman, District 15

Gene Seroka, Executive Director, Port of Los Angeles

Dear _____:

The Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports the renewal of the 30 year lease between the Cabrillo Beach Youth Waterfront Sports Center property by the Greater Los Angeles Area Council, Boy Scouts of America and the Port of Los Angeles so that the Greater Los Angeles Area Council may continue to operate and manage the Center for the benefit of youth and the community.

Sincerely,

Ray Regalado, President

Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council

Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenburg, Bryant, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 recused (Labrecque).

ii. Discuss and consider Community Impact Statement regarding CD 15 Bridge Housing Program known as "A Bridge Home" site proposals.

DRAFT CIS on CF 18-0651

At this time the Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council takes NO POSITION on CF 18-0651.

We applaud the quick action taken by CD15 Councilmember Buscaino in proposing three sites within the CD15 region to be considered as locations for emergency temporary shelters under Mayor Garcetti's Crisis and Bridge Housing Program known as "A Bridge Home". We understand that this program may not address the severely chronic homeless nor address the homelessness root causes such as lack of low income housing, lack of jobs and lack of shelter housing, however this is a solid first step in humanitarianism to bring unsheltered Angelenos back inside with homes, healing and hope.

Our understanding is that to be eligible to receive the \$1.3 million funds offered by the Mayor in mid April 2018, proposed locations were required to be submitted before the end of July. This left a very short window to conduct research and gather stakeholder input. Furthermore, stringent requirements were set for each location. Therefore we applaud Councilmember Buscaino's quick site selection action along with efforts to work with his stakeholders in CD15 by immediately assigning a liaison with the newly established CD15 Working Group on Homelessness which includes all eight Neighborhood Councils in CD15. Open communication, education and collaboration are key elements to earn the support of our communities.

The fact that the "A Bridge Home" program is addressing an emergency crisis situation required emergency action. Normally we would push for more in-depth community input, but due to the seriousness of this crisis situation, we agree that the Councilman has taken appropriate action with the proposal of three sites.

It is absolutely essential that prior to each shelter allowing the move-in of vetted homeless Angelenos, ALL security and wrap-around services are in place. We hold our government accountable to ensure the promised services of on-site 24/7 security, mental health, employment, addiction, housing placement services and wellness resources are established in each location.

The reason we are taking NO POSITION at this time is because although we approve of the fact sites were proposed to receive eligible funding, we do not have enough information about the specific proposed sites.

1. To be able to present these sites to our stakeholders, we ask for a detailed narrative on why these sites were selected.
2. If one, two or all three sites pass the next steps of eligibility, is \$1.3 million enough to support them?
3. If two or all three sites pass the next steps and there are not enough funds to support all, has a priority order been set as to which will be first? If yes, what is the order?
4. If none of these proposed sites pass the next steps on evaluation, will CD15 be allowed to propose other sites?

The three identified locations (in Watts, San Pedro and Wilmington) have met the first step of criteria. The next step is for our officials to evaluate each property and report on their feasibility as crisis and bridge housing.

Our understanding of the “A Bridge Home” site section includes the following:

1. Each site is to be located on a site owned or leased by the city
2. Each site must be located adjacent to a high-density homeless population
3. Once a shelter is up and homeless Angelenos move in, the City’s Sanitation department will work to restore spaces that were previously encampment sites into safe clean, public passageways. These extra resources will only be available to Districts that stand up shelters.
4. These emergency shelters will remain standing for three years, enough time for the City to construct supportive housing for the Angelenos living in them.
5. All “A Bridge Home” shelters will be fully staffed with 24/7 on-site security, and the Mayor’s Office will closely monitor each site to help ensure safety and cleanliness.

We are encouraged and hopeful that collaboration with our CD15 office on this topic and other local issues will continue as open two way communication that is essential to supporting our community needs.

Motion from committee passed with 8 yes (Balderrama, Dixon, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 5 noes (Brandenberg, Bryant DiMeglio, Garbowski, and Labrecque), and 0 abstention.

- iii. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to <http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/>.
- d. Port Committee Report – Phil Nicolay – No report
 - i. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to <http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/>.
- e. Sustainability Committee Report – Gwendolyn Henry – No report
 - i. To view a written report of the latest meeting please go to <http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/>.
- f. Youth and Outreach Committee Report – Laurie Jacobs
 - i. This is the last meeting for Sky Zaarour. We are taking applications to fill the Youth Seat.
 - ii. To view the full written report of the latest meeting please go to <http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/>.
- g. Public Safety Committee Report – Melanie Labrecque
 - i. They are planning an Emergency Preparedness Fair, for Saturday, February 23rd at Peck Park from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.
- h. Budget and Finance – Melanie Labrecque, Treasurer
 - i. Discuss and consider motion to approve \$240.11 to purchase retractable sign originally approved during FY 2017-18 promoting Sustainable Water Bottle use. Unallocated funds to be used.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
 - ii. Discuss and consider recommendation to purchase dolly, not to exceed \$75, to be used for transporting items from storage. Unallocated funds to be used.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
 - iii. Discuss and consider motion to approve reimbursement in the amount of \$16.95 to Laurie Jacobs for the purchase of coffee for NC retreat.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
 - iv. Discuss and consider motion to approve reimbursement in the amount of \$46.40 to Laurie Jacobs for the purchase of food from Smart and Final for NC retreat.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
 - v. Discuss and consider motion to approve reimbursement in the amount of \$60.74 to Melanie Labrecque for purchase of food from Costco for NC retreat.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
 - vi. Discuss and consider motion to approve reimbursement in the amount of \$6.57 to Melanie Labrecque for the purchase of ice for NC retreat.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).

- vii. Discuss and consider motion to approve July MER.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
- viii. Discuss and consider motion to approve July 2018 budget.
Motion from committee passed with 9 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 ineligible (Zaarour), and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
- ix. Discuss and consider motion move funds originally designated for Neighborhood Purpose Grants to unallocated funds, totaling \$2,000.
Motion by **Lee Williams** to table the motion, second by **Bob Bryant**, and passed with 10 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).
- x. A request was filed by Planning and Land Use committee for \$2,000 to be put in a community improvement fund/allocation to comprise a total of \$3,000. Budget and Finance cannot recommend the motion at this time due to the lack of project and associated budget specificity.
- xi. Budget and Finance Committee recommends the following motion: Planning and Land Use Committee prepare a planned budget for a specific project, as well as a timeline associated with any proposed community improvement project prior to fund allocation.
Motion by **Bob Bryant** to table the motion, second by **Lee Williams**, and passed with 10 yes (Balderrama, Bryant, DiMeglio, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, Williams, and Zaarour), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 3 left meeting early (Brandenberg, Dixon, and Garbowski).

Meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m. – Remaining items not addressed

- i. Harbor Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (HANC) Board of Neighborhood Commissioners (BONC)
Report – Ray Regalado

9. Other Reports

- a. Homelessness Liaison Meeting – Laurie Jacobs
 - i. Review of recent meetings regarding homelessness-Citywide and CD 15
- b. Animal Shelter Liaison report – Volunteer needed
- c. FilmLA Liaison Report – Lee Williams
- d. Public Works – Chris Valle
- e. City Attorney – Pat Nave
- f. LA Department of Water and Power – Dan Dixon

10. Announcements/Future Agenda Items

- 11. Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 9:00 pm. The next meeting has been rescheduled to Wednesday, September 12, 2018 at 6:00 pm.

Respectfully submitted,
Sheryl Akerblom for NWSPNC