Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council
Board & Stakeholder Meeting – June 10, 2019
Peck Park Community Room
560 N. Western Ave.
San Pedro, CA 90731

MINUTES

1. Welcome and Call to Order – The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by President Ray Regalado. There was a quorum with 12 board members. See list below for board member attendance. Approximately 15 stakeholders in attendance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Members</th>
<th>Roll Call/Attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armando Balderrama</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Brandenberg</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Bryant</td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Chan</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bron D’Angelo</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John DiMeglio</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Dixon</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Garbowski</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Gonyea</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwendolyn Henry</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Jacobs</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanie Labrecque</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Osterman</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Regalado</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Skrbmbs</td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Valle</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Williams</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Election of Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council board officers – Officers to take office on July 8, 2019 board meeting.
   a. President
      Ray Regalado appointed to serve as President with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.
   b. Vice-President
      Laurie Jacobs appointed to serve as Vice President with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.
   c. Treasurer
      Melanie Lebreque appointed to serve as Treasurer with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.
   d. Secretary
      Cynthia Gonyea appointed to serve as Secretary with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.
e. **Second Signer** – Laurie without objection

   Laurie Jacobs appointed to serve as Second Signer with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

f. **Alternate second signer** – Cynthia without objection

   Cynthia Gonyea appointed to serve as Alternate Second Signer with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

g. **Card Holder** – Melanie without objection

   Melanie Lebrecque appointed to serve as Card Holder with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

3. **Executive Committee** – Report – Ray Regalado

   a. **Public comment shall be limited to three minutes per speaker unless modified by the Chair.** The Chair may establish a maximum length of time for all public speakers. Any single address by a board member shall be no longer than 2 minutes. A board member may speak a second time after all other board members have had an opportunity to speak.

   b. **Discuss kick-off retreat for NWSPNC for year 2019/20**

      i. Possible – LAPD Harbor Area Station, Community Room

      ii. Possible – July 13 or 20, 2019 from 10am until 4pm – Officer Brown commented that both dates are available – Ray will let him know which date is chosen.

      iii. See proposed/possible agenda items – Ray has received 3 responses that are very similar.

   iv. **Pick-Up Stix – Approved**

   v. **Other items to consider – None**

4. **Public Official Reports**

   a. **Elected and Governmental Representatives**

      i. **Port of Los Angeles – Augie Bezmalinovich**

         I. The next Board of Harbor Commissioners meetings will be on Thursdays, June 20th and July 11th at 9:00 a.m. at the Port Administration Building.

         II. There will be a Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP) advisory meeting on Thursday, June 20th at Bannings Landing in Wilmington from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon.

         III. The Public Access Investment Plan proposes to allocate 10% of the Harbor Department’s annual operating income from the latest fiscal year for the capital development of public access projects for a 10-year period which began in 2015.

         IV. He announced several upcoming events.

         V. Mr. Bezmalinovich answered questions from the board and stakeholders.

      ii. **Councilman Joe Buscaino – Field Deputy Ryan Ferguson – On vacation**

      iii. **Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) – Octaviano Rios, Neighborhood Council Advocate – No report**

   b. **Budget Representative/Advocate Report – Melanie Labrecque, Region 12 Representative**

      i. Ms. Labrecque announced that the annual Neighborhood Council Budget Advocates Budget Day will be held on Saturday, June 29th. Board member Mary Chan and Stakeholder Matt DiMeglio appointed to serve as NWSPNC Budget Representatives.


5. **First Responder’s Reports**

   a. **LAPD Senior Lead Officer Dan Brown**

      i. Officer Brown gave a brief overview of crime statistics for the area

      ii. There are resources available on the LAPD website that include the ability to file a police report on line at [www.lapdonline.org/home/content_basic_view/60409](http://www.lapdonline.org/home/content_basic_view/60409).

      iii. New Senior Lead Officer Supervisor Matthew Vudso gave a brief overview of his history with LAPD.

      iv. Officer Brown’s contact information is 40380@lapd.online, cell phone 424) 901-9660.

      v. Officer Brown answered questions from the board and stakeholders.

   b. **CPAB – Melanie Labrecque – No report**
6. Presentations/Conversations
   a. S. S. Lane Victory presentation and proposal – Chris Marmaud
      i. The vessel is owned by The United States Merchant Marine Veterans of World War II, a 501c3.
      ii. They are seeking support for their proposed Return to Service Plan for the vessel.
      iii. Mr. Marmaud and the entire crew are volunteers.
      iv. It is the only Victory Ship that remains unaltered and unstripped.
      v. The ship was built at a shipyard here in San Pedro.
      vi. The ship houses 2 museums, one for WWII and one for Korean War.
      vii. Many of the ships systems are still operational and it is used for training exercises by various organizations.
      viii. Their goal is to restore the ship to full operation such that the ship can carry passengers.
      ix. Mr. Marmaud answered questions from the board and stakeholders.

7. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items
   a. Gerry Gusha announced that Shakespeare by the Sea starts its run in Point Fermin Park on Thursday, June 13th and ends on Saturday, August 17th.
   b. JK Drummond commented about issues with the LA DOT local DASH.

8. Consent Calendar:
   a. Approval of May 13, 2019 meeting minutes.
   b. Appoint Peter Rothe to Sustainability Committee.
      Motion by Gwen Henry to approve the Consent Calendar as presented, second by Laurie Jacobs, and passed with 11 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 out of room (Garbowski).

9. Committee Reports and Motions: Please go to nwsanpedro.org to view text for all motions.
   a. Planning and Land Use Report – Diana Nave
      i. Update and status of Port/Public Access Infrastructure Fund community project suggestions.
         I. Five years ago, the port proposed a 10-year plan for public access projects. Funds for the first five years have been spent, now the port is moving to the second five years, 2020 to 2025.
         II. However, the port decided that they had projects they wanted to fund off the top from the funds, thus greatly reducing the amount of funds available for projects through the public process.
         III. Additionally, they seem to be backing away from an earlier commitment to split the funds equally between San Pedro and Wilmington.
         IV. The San Pedro area Neighborhood Council Presidents sent a letter to Port of Los Angeles Executive Director Gene Seroka (2/1/17), expressing concern about these two changes. The ports response letter (5/7/19) is posted on the NWSPNC website.
         V. The joint San Pedro area NC planning committee has been soliciting input from the public for projects. The committee is reviewing all the public input received and trying to consolidate the information. This month the committee will be looking at the input and hope to come up with a unified list of all three NCs.
         VI. At the next meeting on June 19th, the Port will be giving a presentation on the Town Square project.
         VII. Ms. Nave answered questions from the board and stakeholders.
   b. Elections and By-Laws Committee Report – Diana Nave & Bron D’Angelo – No report
   c. Community Issues Committee Report – Dan Dixon
      i. Discuss and Consider approving letter to U. S. Navy regarding the reopening the Fuel Supply Depot on N. Gaffey

Commanding Officer, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach
Attn: Teresa Bresler
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest
Code EV25. TB 937
North Harbor Drive
Building 1, 3rd Floor (Environmental)
San Diego, California 92132
nwsbpao@navy.mil

June 10, 2019 Minutes
Mr. Gregg Smith
gregg.smith@navy.mil

Dear Ms. Bresler and Mr. Smith,

Thank you for extending the opportunity to comment on the Draft EA.

Our Neighborhood Council is elected pursuant to the Los Angeles city charter. Our area has 20,000 residents in 8,000 households. Our Council area includes all of San Pedro described in your proposed Alternative 1.

Over the 18 years since we were certified we have dealt with many issues, especially those that impact the neighboring Taper Avenue tracts and Ponte Vista tract now being built. From time to time, some of our Board members have also been DFSP advisory board members. Among our ongoing concerns are the safety of the butane and LPG storage and distribution centers on North Gaffey and the impacts of traffic generally along Gaffey as it is one of only two emergency evacuation routes. In Alternative 1, the huge proposed commercial area lies on both an earthquake fault and liquefaction zone alongside one of only two emergency exits from San Pedro. It is immediately adjacent to a major refinery, a controversial LPG and butane storage/distribution facility, the Taper Avenue residential area, the new 660-700 unit Ponte Vista tract, the Bobby Sox ball fields, the police pistol range, the Palos Verdes Blue butterfly and Gnatcatcher preserves, housing for previously homeless veterans and their families, student housing for Marymount College, Mary Star High School, and the Rolling Hills Prep and Renaissance Schools. As a community we are all too familiar with catastrophic events at fueling facilities, including the Sansinena explosion at Berth 49, the GATX tanks on 22nd Street, the Westways fire, and the two Olympic Chemical chlorine gas leaks at the loading rack on Mormon Island.

The Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council does not support the Defense Fuel Support Point due to many of our comments not being addressed. Based on the information we have received; we are adamantly opposed to Alternative 1. Some of our objections also apply to Alternative 2. Additionally, we believe that additional alternatives should be studied, all of which are less environmentally impactful.

We submitted comments to the Navy regarding the scope of the EA many of which have still not been addressed, particularly as it regards the vagueness of the definition of the proposed project. A copy of those comments is attached to this submittal.

We believe that the Navy has incorrectly defined its project. While it is described as a commercial lease of either more than 300 acres (Alternative 1) or 8 acres (Alternative 2), with certain carve-outs for service to Navy ships, the real purpose of the project is to establish an additional fueling site for Navy ships. The commercial lease concept is just the mechanism for obtaining a funding source for upgrades to the fueling pier and pipelines.

As we understand it, the Navy is hoping that a commercial proposer will refurbish the Navy refueling facilities to obtain commercial use of both the pier and land for commercial purposes.

If the Navy defines the project as the lease, the EA is deficient. Of particular concern is the "other products and processes [that] may be added to the site, based on the needs of the commercial lessee. There is no description of what the future commercial use would include and therefore, no assessment of the environmental impacts of the traffic, air emissions, hazard to adjacent homes, schools and youth recreation areas of such use can be made.

Chief among our concerns regarding Alternative 1 are the following:

1. There appear to be errors is some computations. For example, the EA anticipates 41 truck trips a day but computes air emissions on the basis of 30 truck trips a day. This is significant because VOC and NOx emissions measurements already exceed allowable minimums. Another evaluation that is incomplete is traffic. Level A and B traffic along Gaffey is one thing; traffic at Five Points and at Channel and Gaffey, particularly during rush hours, is much worse and those are where trucks will have to go. An overturned fuel truck there will be catastrophic particularly if they ignite.

2. The property in Alternative 1 is in earthquake rupture and liquefaction zones. Fault investigations are required by the City of Los Angeles for any project located in this area. Incredibly, the Summary of Potential Impacts does not mention this even though the EA acknowledges that the Palos Verdes fault runs directly under several of the closed in-place UST's and the ASTs in the northwest corner of the terminal and that the some of the pipeline is the liquefaction zone. An analysis needs to be done of the potential impact of the Palos Verdes and Cabrillo earthquake faults on the pipelines, tanks, and any other planned uses. Due to the age of the facilities, it is unclear if these earthquake consequences were considered when they were built, and the pipelines are old. More is known now about the faults and their potential for creating future problems in the area. There are two other hazardous facilities adjacent to the DFSP, Rancho Holdings and Phillips Refinery. The cumulative impact of a rupture on these three facilities should be considered. This is compounded by the fact that San Pedro is at the tip of a peninsula the facility is between the only two emergency exits from our community, Gaffey and Western. An incident at any of these sites could effectively cut San Pedro off from Los Angeles.

3. Little, if anything is said in the EA about the potential or consequences of catastrophic events, whether from natural causes or associated with events at the nearby refineries. We note that the entire Port Complex is considered a target of opportunity for hostile or negligent actors, whether a drunk or disgruntled employee, a saboteur or a terrorist. Any such event has the potential to destroy not only the nearby homes and schools, but also the largest Port complex in the nation and cripple the US economy. Although the port is already a potential target, adding more fuel tanks and associated delivery systems would certainly increase that risk.
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4. The fact that the property would be under the supervision of a private, non-governmental management only amplifies the dangers and potential problems.

5. Tanker trucks are the least safe way to transport hazardous materials. Pipelines, such as currently exist, and are proposed in our Alternative 3, are the safest way. Furthermore, increased truck traffic will result in decreased air quality, increased noise, and increased traffic.

While these are the community's major concerns, the main defect in the EA is the failure to consider the potential environmental impacts of the commercial leases, especially since Section 2.1.2 tells us that the commercial development areas would be committable within 12 months and admits that additional environmental analysis would be required. Signing a lease for the entire area would be viewed as a prior commitment to the subsequent commercial use without the appropriate environmental analysis.

Both NEPA and CEQA require the use of the commercial area to be environmentally assessed before the lease is awarded and before the EA is approved. This should be done as early as possible; proponents are not allowed to stage their projects in a way that makes the approval of one aspect influence the consideration of the later portions. We note that one participant in the meetings where this response was discussed, remarked that the Navy's proposal was "China Shipping on steroids", which is a reference to the litigation over a nearby project in the Port of Los Angeles where the Court of Appeals held that a lease included a prior commitment. The holding resulted in significant delays with settlement and attorney fee costs in excess of $50 Million, payable to the project challengers.

**Additional Alternatives Should Be Studied**

The EA analyzed three alternatives, two of which meet the Navy's objectives plus the mandatory "no project" alternative. Although both project alternatives suffer from similar analytic defects, Alternative 2 meets the Navy's objectives with fewer environmental impacts and is preferable to Alternative 1. Although Alternative 2 also contains a commercial lease area with unassessed impacts, the environmental setting is quite different. Not only is the area much smaller, it lies wholly in an industrial area and is much closer to the suppliers of the F76 and JP5 fuels that the Navy seeks to supply to the refueling pier; those commodities can go directly from nearby refineries to the ships rather than go to San Pedro and then to Long Beach through very old pipelines.

We suggest that the EA should study at least three additional alternatives, each of which would have even fewer negative environmental impacts.

**Proposed Alternative 3**

Connect the Navy fuel pier directly to pipelines from area refineries. Most likely, all the local refineries are already interconnected to the Navy lines. There should be no need for additional backland storage or pipelines. This might require the Navy to improve the pier from its own funds, but this alternative has far less impacts than either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2. This is especially true when compared with fueling from the San Pedro Alternative 1 site.

**Proposed Alternative 4**

Utilize existing commercial refueling sites in the local harbor complex. There are a number of ship fueling terminals in the Los Angeles/Long Beach harbors which the Navy could use. While the Navy has mentioned security as one of its concerns, all marine oil terminals have both safety and security as part of their state approved operating plans. We realize the Navy rejected considering this as an alternative for study previously, in Section 2.4.4 of the draft EA. However, it did so in a way that emphasizes the desire to reactivate the DFSP facility and maintain an underutilized asset. This causes us to wonder why the Navy deactivated the site in the first place. It also appears that the Navy has already decided to approve Alternative 1. Certainly, Section 1.4 of the EA does not emphasize the "underutilized asset" project goal in the way the rejection of the alternative indicates that it may be the true goal of the project proponent.

**Proposed Alternative 5**

The Navy should explore other possible ways of refueling such as delivery by barge, as is currently provided by several delivery firms in the Port complex, or by use of off-shore refueling booms such as those adjacent to the Chevron refinery at El Segundo. This would meet the project goal of providing refueling sites in addition to San Diego and may actually be more secure since it is not dependent on fixed facilities that could become terrorist targets.

In choosing an alternative to be approved, the Navy must select the alternative that meets project goals and has the least impact. While clearly the proposed three additional alternatives are the least impactful, between Alternatives 1 and 2, Alternative 2 is substantially less impactful than Alternative 1.

Responding to this EA has been somewhat challenging in part because the method proposed by the Navy to fund this DOD improvement is unusual. Improvements such as those proposed are usually made through the traditional Congressional budget process. By circumventing this, the Navy has effectively proposed a tax, a burden, on our local community through construction of a federal facility without the usual federal oversight, and by reversing a recent decision to deactivate the premises.
In conclusion, the NWSPNC does not support the Defense Fuel Supply Point. From information we have received as of now we are strongly opposed to Alternative 1. We believe that three additional alternatives should be studied before any decision is made on Alternative 2.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ray Regalado
President

CC: Joe Buscaino, Nanette Barragan, Ted Lieu, Eric Garcetti

Attachment: NWSPNC scoping comment letter dated November 2018.

Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

i. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/.

ii. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/.

Port Committee Report – Phil Nicolay – Resigned as chair
i. Discuss Chair vacancy – Ray Regalado - no new chair yet and he is exploring some creative alternatives

ii. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/.

Sustainability Committee Report – Gwendolyn Henry
i. Ms. Henry wants people to be aware that Assemblymember Patrick O’Donnell has proposed AB1694 to incorporate Wilmington/San Pedro bay and watershed into the LA River Conservation area and asks for funding for such.

ii. Community Gardens update; there was a meeting between Lot to Spot and gardeners which garnered some new information.

iii. To view a written report of the last meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes/.

Youth and Outreach Committee Report – Laurie Jacobs, Chair
i. Discuss and consider approving letter to the LAUSD School Board regarding concerns of charter schools co-locating on Harbor Area school campuses.

DRAFT LETTER

Date: June 10, 2019

To: Dr. Richard Vladovic, LAUSD Board Member

Subject: Concerns regarding Charter School Co-Locations in the Harbor Community

Dear Mr. Vladovic,

We, the Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council have great concerns regarding the recently approved charter school co-location at the Catskill Ave Elementary School in Carson as we see this as a trend that may affect schools located in the Harbor Community. We are concerned that adding a co-location charter school at an existing campus will most likely destabilize the school learning community by taking away existing resources such as computer labs, campus library, counseling room, and play yard. We are concerned that existing schools with fully credentialed experienced teachers, Dual Language, School for Advanced Studies/Gate, English Language Learner, and Special Education programs could be adversely impacted when co-habitation takes place.

The Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council believes our schools should serve all students. There have been reported instances where charter schools exclude minorities, special education students, and English Language Learners. Furthermore, we believe that schools should transparently include the community when making decisions. We are concerned that GANAS Academy may be failing to do this.

We ask that you address these issues.

In conclusion, the Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports the parents, teachers, and community members who have expressed concerns regarding the co-location of GANAS Academy at LAUSD public schools in the Harbor Community, specifically in San Pedro.

We request that you provide us with specific information as to how adding a charter school to any existing campus in the Harbor Area will benefit the existing campus and not detract from existing classroom space, play yard space and existing programs such as Dual Language, School for Advanced Studies/Gate, English Language Learner, and Special Education.

We stand unified in protecting our schools in Northwest San Pedro and request a response to this letter within two weeks of your receipt.

Sincerely,
Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

i. NWSPNC will have a booth at Pride in the Port and Music by the Sea.

ii. To view the full written report of the latest meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes.

Public Safety Committee Report – Melanie Labrecque – No report

To view the full written report of the latest meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes.

Budget and Finance – Melanie Labrecque, Treasurer

Propose, discuss and consider motion to approve MER for May 2019.

Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

Discuss and consider motion to approve budget through May 2019.

Motion from committee passed with 12 yes (Balderrama, Brandenberg, Chan, DiMeglio, Dixon, Garbowski, Gonyea, Henry, Jacobs, Labrecque, Regalado, and Williams), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

Homelessness Committee – Armando Balderrama

Mr. Balderrama has been doing a lot of research on the issue and currently there is no housing in San Pedro for homeless people.

Councilman Busciano is working on creating a contact list of agencies to assist with homeless issues.

The next meeting will be Thursday, June 13th at 6:00 p.m.

To view the full written report of the latest meeting please go to http://nwsanpedro.org/minutes.

Harbor Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (HANC) Board of Neighborhood Commissioners (BONC) Report – Ray Regalado

HANC – at the last meeting Grayce Liu came and said goodbye as she makes the transition to the Human Resources/Personnel department. She expects to be finished at DONE by the end of June. There is an online survey asking what qualities people want in the next DONE General Manager.

BONC – they did not have quorum at the last meeting.

Other Reports

Homelessness Liaison Meeting – Laurie Jacobs

Discuss appointment of liaison replacement. Mary Chan volunteered to serve as the Homelessness Liaison.

Animal Shelter Liaison report – Volunteer needed

FilmLA Liaison Report – Lee Williams – No report

Public Works – Chris Valle – No report

City Attorney – Pat Nave – No report

LA Department of Water and Power – Dan Dixon – No report

Announcements/Future Agenda Items

John DiMeglio announced that LAUSD is having a closed meeting on Wednesday, June 13th about the Science Center at 6:00 p.m. at Johnson School.

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 pm. The next meeting will be Monday, July 8, 2019.